No. 183.

Reply Brf. of Estes for C. C. & S.

Office Supreme Court U. S.
FILED
DEC 16 1898
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
Clerk.

Filed Dec. 16, 1898.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1898.

No. 183.

THE CONNECTICUT MUTUAL LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, PLAINTIFF IN ERROR,

vs.

LINDA Y. SPRATLEY.

Memorandum of Counsel for Plaintiff in Error in Answer to Reply Brief of Defendant in Error.

It is proper to state that plaintiff in error does not concede any of the propositions or positions assumed in the reply brief of defendant in error, but denies and challenges them all, insisting that they are untenable and unwarranted by the record.

It also insists that the authorities cited in this reply brief

do not sustain the contention of defendant in error, and that the reply brief of defendant in error in nowise meets the statement of law and fact contained in the original brief of plaintiff in error, and that the authorities cited in the reply brief in no proper sense controvert or weaken the authorities relied on by plaintiff in error.

B. M. Estes,
Francis Fentress,
Counsel for Plaintiff in Error.